

ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE

Purpose

This procedure outlines the assessment design and delivery processes.

Scope

This procedure applies to all Monash College (the College) staff and students involved with assessment activities.

This procedure also applies to International Partners who are required to comply with Monash College policies as per the Third-Party Arrangement contracts between the College and Partners.

The procedure does not apply to Vocational Education and Training, Professional Year or Non-accredited training programs.

Purp	ose	1
Scop	e	1
Proc	edure	2
1.	Assessment design and delivery	2
2.	Late assessment submissions	5
3.	Reasonable Adjustments to Assessment	6
4.	Special consideration	10
5.	Marking, Grading and Feedback	16
6.	Assessment remarking	22
7.	Security and record keeping	24
Appe	endix	25
Аp	pendix A: Methods and timeframes of communicating assessment requirements	25
Аp	pendix B: Special consideration criteria and supporting evidence.	27
Ар	pendix C: Grading schema	31
Defin	itions	35
Relat	red Documents	37
Versi	on control and accountability table	38



Procedure

1. Assessment design and delivery

Ref	Process Steps	Responsibility
1.1	Develop and design the assessment tasks in consultation with the Learning Design and Digital Innovation (LDDI) unit on an as-needed basis.	English language: Program Leader (or equivalent) or delegate;
		Foundation: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate;
		Diploma of Business and Diploma of Engineering Part 1 and Part 2: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate in consultation with Monash University Chief Examiner or delegate where applicable;
		All Other Diplomas Part 1: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate; and
		All Other Diploma Part 2: Monash University faculties or delegate
Applicab	le to Foundation and Diploma programs only	
1.1.1	 Employ a team-based approach, with quality oversight from: the Unit Leader for Foundation units, 	Foundation: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
	 the Assessment Moderator or Unit Leader for Part 1 units, and the Monash University Chief Examiner for Part 2 units. 	Diploma of Business Part 1 and Part 2: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate in consultation with
	Where a coursework assessment or final examination has been developed by a teacher (where the teacher has been nominated by the Unit Leader and approved by Discipline Leader or Team Leader), the Assessment Moderator and/or	Assessment Moderator and/or Monash University Chief Examiner where applicable;
	Unit Leader in conjunction with the Monash University Chief Examiner (if applicable) ensures alignment with the principles of good assessment practice outlined in the Assessment Policy.	All Other Diplomas: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate in consultation with Monash University



		Chief Examiner or delegate where applicable
Commun	nicating assessment requirements	
1.2	Provide students with assessment requirements in the unit guide/outline and/or the learning management system as outlined in Appendix A: Methods and timeframes of communicating assessment requirements.	Program Leader/Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
Review a	and revision of assessment tasks (previously known as asses	sment recycling)
1.3	Revise assessment* tasks prior to each <i>study period</i> to prevent any students with knowledge of the task and/or its solution from a previous offering from potentially having an unfair advantage. Tasks that have defined solutions or answers must have significantly different content from tasks (including deferred assessments, past assessment questions and tasks that have been provided to students for practice) (see sections 1.1 - 1.4 of the Assessment Guidelines). *Assessment must limit the opportunity for students to recycle work from:	English language: Program Leader (or equivalent) or delegate; Foundation: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate; Diploma of Business and Diploma of Engineering Part 1 and Part 2: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate in consultation with Monash University
	 other units; a previous semester of study, particularly if a student is repeating; other students' assessed work; from publicly available assessment materials; past assessment materials, examinations or solutions; and/or assessment versions 	Chief Examiner or delegate where applicable; All Other Diplomas Part 1: Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate; and All Other Diploma Part 2: Monash University faculties or delegate
Number	of Assessments and Assessment Weighting	
	 For Monash English program: No limit on the assessment weighting. All formal assessments are held at the end of a Monash English Level. 	Program Leader (or equivalent)
1.5	 For Monash English Bridging: No single assessment tasks can be worth more than 40 percent of the total assessment of the program. There will be a maximum of seven assessments for each program. 	Program Leader (or equivalent)



	 Exceptions to the above limits must be approved through relevant governance processes. 	
1.6	 For Foundation programs: No single assessment tasks can be worth more than 30 percent of the total assessment of the unit. There will be a maximum of eight assessments for each unit. Exceptions to the above limits must be approved through relevant unit or course review processes. 	Unit Leader (or equivalent)
1.7	 For Diploma Part 1 programs: No single assessment tasks can be worth more than 60 percent of the total assessment of the unit. There will be a maximum of eight assessments for each unit. Exceptions to the above limits must be approved through relevant unit or course review processes. 	Unit Leader (or equivalent)
1.8	For Diploma Part 2 programs, all assessment weightings are provided by the Monash University Chief Examiner or delegate. Note that some faculties may allow the College to set the internal assessment composition and weighting but are subject to the Monash University Chief Examiner's approval.	Unit Leader (or equivalent)
Hurdle re	equirements (Applicable to Diploma programs only)	
1.9	Set a hurdle requirement which is a compulsory task within individual units that must be completed successfully in order to fulfil the assessment requirements of the unit. If a unit has a hurdle requirement, this will be aligned with one or more of the unit learning outcomes. If a student fails to meet a hurdle requirement, the student's final result will be impacted. Students who do not meet the unit hurdle requirements and would have	Unit Leader (or equivalent) in consultation with Monash University Chief Examiner or delegate where applicable
	otherwise received a 49N or higher grade, will automatically receive a 48N.	
Scheduli	ng of assessment tasks	
1.10	 Schedule at an appropriate time to assess the student's achievement against learning outcomes and to provide feedback that is constructive and supportive of further learning. Where possible, schedule an activity within the first few weeks of the study period to assist in 	Program Leader/Unit Leader (or equivalent)



	 identifying students who may be at risk of unsatisfactory progress. Where possible, schedule due dates for major assessment tasks in a unit at least two weeks apart considering the overall course workload of students in the unit. This does not apply to portfolio related assessment tasks that contribute to a broader project. Ensure that the due dates and submission times must be equitable with respect to time zones and local public holidays for units that are offered in multiplate teaching locations. This is to mitigate any risk of academic misconduct within and between cohorts. 	
1.11	Where possible, ensure that at least one assessment task for each unit is submitted and returned by the end of Week 7 to provide timely and constructive feedback to students.	Unit Leader (or equivalent)
1.12	 Applicable to Diploma programs: Where possible, ensure that at least one assessment task for each unit is submitted and returned by the end of Week 6 to provide timely and constructive feedback to students. All assessments will be due no later than Friday of Week 11. Exceptions may be made by the relevant Education Director for assessment tasks that involve a practical component, such as computer simulations or laboratory work; those which students are required to present in person; or where the final assessment tasks are not exams. 	Unit Leader (or equivalent)

2. Late assessment submissions

Ref	Process Steps	Responsibility
2.1	Complete and submit a special consideration application form for coursework assessment extensions as outlined in section 4, following the special consideration application process.	Student



	However, if the student is registered with Disability Support Services and has been approved with flexible assessment deadlines, refer to the process outlined in section 3.5.2 below.	
2.2	A 10% penalty of the total available marks applies for each day an assessment is submitted late (including weekends) (see section 4.12). Tasks submitted more than seven calendar days after the due date will receive a mark of zero for that task unless compassionate and compelling circumstances apply that are approved by Program Leader, Discipline Leader and Team Leader (see section 4.12).	Teachers
2.3	Late submission penalties will apply if students do not submit their assessments by the approved extension or further extension (where applicable) deadlines (see section 4.12)	Student

3. Reasonable Adjustments to Assessment

Ref	Process Steps	Responsibility
Eligibility		
3.1	Students can register with Disability Support Services (DSS) for:	Student
	an ongoing medical or mental health condition;an ongoing disability;	
	 a short-term severe medical condition or injury; or responsibilities as a <i>student carer</i>. 	
DSS Ap	olication	
3.2	 Complete and submit a registration form and any supporting evidence by the end of week two of the study period. Where required, contact Student Counselling for assistance to complete the DSS registration form or to discuss the Disability Support process; or Where a new medical condition has become known or the student's circumstance has changed as set out in section 3.1, contact DSS directly via disabilitysupportservices@monash.edu or where required, contact the Student Counselling team as soon as possible to seek advice on the next course of action. 	Student



	0. 1. 1	
	 Students are recommended to apply at the start of the study period and by the following application deadlines for specific assessments: For coursework assessments: at least 10 working days before the assessment due date; or For examinations: at least one month before the commencement of the examination period. Late applications may be considered only if there are extenuating circumstances. 	
3.3	Where a program or a unit is delivered through a third-party arrangement, partner institutions can contact Academic Process and Policy to discuss the Disability Support process on student's behalf.	Partner Institution
3.4	Make an appointment to see a Disability Adviser via Monash University Disability website to discuss their application. Details of your DSS registration and circumstances are securely maintained by DSS and will not be disclosed to other areas of the College or University without your consent (see Disability Support Services Privacy Statement). However, DSS will disclose the recommended reasonable adjustments to the relevant teams within the College in order to confirm if the adjustments can be accommodated.	Student
DSS Re	commendations	
3.5	Once interviewing the student, review applications to make recommendations of appropriate reasonable adjustments to the Student Administration and Academic Process and Policy. Where an assessment adjustment involves the student's personal equipment, consult with the Academic Process and Policy and Student Administration to address any concerns relating to the appropriateness of the adjustment. Where an assessment adjustment involves costs, consult with the Head of Student Services.	Academic Process and Policy: Relating to coursework assessments Student Administration: Relating to examinations conducted on the eAssessment platform
3.5.1	Recommend alternative assessment arrangements for coursework assessments as may be required such as: use of ergonomic furniture or special seating arrangements; alternative formats for learning materials;	DSS



3.5.2	 enabling assistance, such as assistive technology; use of other adaptive equipment provided or approved by DSS; and/or an extension of time to complete the assessments. DSS may approve a student to have flexible assessment deadlines which allow them to have additional time to meet assessment deadlines (see section 2 of the Assessment Guidelines for the assessment extension process). 	DSS
3.5.3	Recommend alternative assessment arrangements for examinations as may be required such as: • where appropriate and possible, variation in the time the exam is scheduled; • variation in the duration of the examination, including extra working time or rest breaks. Note this should be proportionate to the total exam hours of that unit; • the use of an alternative examination venue, including ergonomic furniture or special seating arrangements; • use of hard copy examination papers instead of a computer-based examination; • alternative format of examination materials; • enabling assistance, such as assistive technology. • use of other adaptive equipment provided or approved by DSS; and/or	DSS (in consultation with Unit Leader or Monash University Chief Examiner where relevant)
3.5.4	 alternative forms of assessment. Where the College provides the student with an alternative form of assessment, ensure that the task should, where relevant, adhere to the following principles in relation to the original assessment task: be of an equivalent standard; assess the same learning outcomes; be consistent with the principles of the <u>Student Academic Integrity Policy;</u> not disadvantage other students enrolled in the unit; and be time proximate in the teaching period. 	Unit Leader (or equivalent) or Monash University Chief Examiner where applicable



3.6	Record the recommended assessment adjustments in the student management system; and	DSS
	Notify delegated Monash College staff members including Student Counselling, Student Administration, Academic Process and Policy and Academic Administration no later than 10 working days before the assessment due date; and	
	Note that:	
	 For approved applications submitted within 10 days of the assessment due date, communicate the outcome of the application as soon as possible. For all approved applications three weeks prior to the commencement of the examination period, notify Student Administration via a student management system with the details of accommodations and conditions of alternative forms of assessment. 	DSS
3.7	Advise the student of the outcome within two working days from the interview date.	DSS
3.8	Have access to download a report of recommended adjustments from the student management system.	Delegated Monash College staff members including Student Counselling, Student Administration, Academic Process and Policy and Academic Administration
	of DSS Recommendations	
3.9	Review recommended adjustments for all assessments as in some situations, the recommendations may not be applicable or may need to be varied.	Academic Process and Policy
3.10	For coursework assessments: Notify the relevant teaching staff members once the application is approved and the student is registered with DSS.	Academic Process and Policy
3.10.1	Implement the reviewed recommended adjustments.	Unit Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader
3.11	For examinations that are delivered on eAssessment platform:	Student Administration
	 Notify the timetabling team once the examination timetable is finalised. 	



	 Notify each student in writing and advise them of the accommodations and conditions within two weeks of the commencement of the examination period. Where late applications are submitted, the student will be notified as soon as possible. 	
3.12	Once the application is approved and the student is registered with DSS, it is recommended that students inform their teacher at least two weeks before a coursework assessment is due (or as soon as possible for students who register with DSS within 10 days of an assessment due date) and at least one month prior to the commencement of the examination period.	Students
3.13	Where recommended adjustments are changed, update details in the student management system and notify delegated Monash College staff members (as set out in section 3.8) as soon as possible.	DSS
3.13.1	 Review recommended adjustments; and Make relevant updates on the student management database; and Notify the relevant teaching team of the updated adjustments. 	Student Administration: Relating to examinations conducted on the eAssessment platform Academic Process and Policy: Relating to coursework assessments

4. Special consideration

Ref	Process Steps	Responsibility
Eligibility	and supporting evidence	
4.1	Students who are unable to undertake, prepare for or complete any component of an assessment task including examinations and extensions to assessment due dates may apply for special consideration.	Student
4.2	Students with a medical condition, including a mental health condition, or a disability may be eligible to register with Disability Support Services (DSS) and access alternative assessment arrangements or adjustments to assessment requirements (see section 3).	Student
4.3	Students are not eligible if they have: already submitted the assessment task for marking either by the submission deadline or by the approved extension deadline. 	Student



Supportin	 performed an assessment task, such as presentation, labs; or attended or attempted the whole or part of a scheduled assessment, unless there are exceptional circumstances as determined by Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate 	
4.4	Ensure that all documentation is in English or	
	accompanied by an <i>authorised translation</i> (e.g., NAATI in Australia).	Student
4.5	The College can request further detail or evidence from the student to support their application.	
	The College is entitled to:	
	 verify the authenticity of any supporting evidence directly with the person or authority who has prepared the document, without giving notice to the student; and seek further detail directly from the student to gain a better understanding of the student's future capacity to complete an assessment, and where possible, to provide guidance and support. 	Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
4.6	Report any student who submits allegedly fraudulent evidence to the responsible team for academic integrity.	Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate and Academic Process and Policy
4.7	Refer a case to the Program Leader when there is a suspicion that a professional practitioner has acted improperly in providing supporting documentation. Review the documentation and report the case to Academic Governance if documentation does not seem genuine.	Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate and Academic Process and Policy Program Leader
4.8	Applicable to Diploma programs only: Where appropriate, request for permission to contact a medical practitioner directly where the student has applied for special consideration of deferred final examination in more than one study period.	Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
	Where required, refer the student to Student Counselling in order for them to determine if the student may be eligible for ongoing support (as set out in section 3).	Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate



		Student Counselling	
	Where appropriate, refer the student to DSS to discuss	- Stadent Sounselling	
	further options (as set out in section 3).		
Applying	for special consideration		
4.9	Complete and submit a special consideration application form either: • five working days before the due date of the affected assessment task; or • two working days after the due date of the affected assessment task.	Student	
	 In exceptional circumstances, if a student is: unable to apply for special consideration two working days after the assessment due date, they must notify the College as soon as possible. Where appropriate, the College will determine and provide the student with a deadline for submitting their application. unable to obtain all supporting evidence by the due date of the application, they must notify the College as soon as possible. Where appropriate, the College will determine and provide the student with a deadline for submitting the evidence. Note that the late applications for special consideration may not be accepted and considered (see sections 3.3 - 3.4 of the Assessment Guidelines). 	Student Administration: Relating to Diploma Final Examination Applications Academic Process and Policy: Relating to all other assessment tasks for Diploma, and all assessment tasks, tests and/or final examinations for English language and Foundation.	
	Refer to Appendix B for types of assessment tasks, special consideration criteria and required supporting evidence.		
	Where special consideration in an assessment task has been approved for a given set of conditions, further special consideration in that task for the same conditions will only be granted in exceptional circumstances with approved supporting evidence.		
4.9.1	Inform the Unit Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or the Student Counsellor or other staff members from the student support service teams of multiple and recurring applications for special consideration where relevant.	Academic Process and Policy (or equivalent)	
	Assessing the application		
4.10	Assess 'during the teaching period' assessment* applications, and consider any supporting evidence including previous special consideration applications or student attendance.	Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate and Academic Process and	



	*refer to types of assessment tasks that a student can apply for special consideration outlined in the Appendix B.	Policy where applicable
4.11	Assess final examination or end of course test applications*, and consider any supporting evidence including previous special consideration applications or student attendance.	English: Program Leader (or equivalent) or delegate Foundation: Academic Progress Panel
	*refer to types of assessment tasks that a student can apply for special consideration as outlined in the Appendix B.	Diploma: Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
Possible	outcomes for special consideration applications and outcor	me notification
4.12	Determine one or more of the following outcomes and advise the student in writing of the outcome within five working days: • an extension of time to complete the coursework assessments may be approved up to five calendar days. Where a further extension is required, students must contact the approver of the original request via email. Once the additional extension is approved, advise the student and Academic Process and Policy in writing within five working days. • an alternative assessment task is specified as follows: • English language programs: Deferred end of course tests. • Foundation programs: ■ Deferred coursework assessments. ■ A derived examination score may be determined and may be used for a final grade calculation in the event where the student is eligible for special consideration. Final examination scores are approved by the Academic Progress Panel. • Diploma programs: Deferred final examination. • Removal of late penalty due to late submission	Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
	where an extension has been granted.	
4.12.1	Rejection of the application. Where applicable, include the relevant Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate in the communication so that the additional/alternative assessment can be arranged.	Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate



4.12.2	Contact the student via email to arrange the details for the additional alternative assessment held under the same conditions as the original assessment.	Program Leader/Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
4.12.3	Adhere to conditions (as set out in section 4.12) and/or submit or complete the assessment on the approved date and time.	Student
4.12.4	The result of a deferred test or examination will be final and mark adjustments will not be made under any circumstances. If a student attends the originally scheduled examination after being approved for a deferred final examination, the decision will be revoked. The mark for the original examination will be included in the final mark. No further applications can be accepted for the final examination.	Program Leader/Discipline Leader (where applicable only)/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
4.12.5	Applicable to Diploma programs only: Where a special consideration application is rejected, in extreme circumstances, consider whether it is appropriate for the student to discontinue from the unit and apply for a Withdrawn Incomplete (WI) grade and for Refund or Remission of Debt (see sections 4.18 - 4.22.1 of the Assessment Procedure and section 5 of the Assessment Guidelines).	Team Leader (or equivalent) in consultation with Education Director
4.13	Maintain a register of special consideration requests and decisions for reporting to the <i>Board of Examiners</i> or <i>English Results Ratification Panel</i> or <i>Foundation Results Ratification Panel</i> where relevant.	Academic Process and Policy
Schedulir	ng deferred final examinations or tests	
4.14	Applicable to English language programs: Schedule deferred end of course tests. If a student's Special Consideration request is approved, the deferred tests can be arranged together with resit for other tasks.	Academic Administration (or equivalent)
4.15	Where appropriate, schedule deferred coursework assessments. Note that deferred final examinations in the Foundation program are not offered. In extreme circumstances, a student may be able to sit a deferred final examination; however, it is subject to senior leadership approval.	Teacher in consultation with Unit Leader and/or Discipline Leader where applicable



4.16	 Applicable to Diploma programs: Schedule deferred final examinations to be held in the set deferred final examination period each trimester; Wednesday and Thursday of Week 15. Note that students can sit a maximum of two deferred final examinations per day during the deferred final examination period where applicable. Inform students of the deferred final examination period at the commencement of 	Academic Administration (or equivalent) Student Administration (or
	their program. Give students at least three calendar days' notice of the deferred date, time, mode and venue where applicable.	equivalent)
4.16.1	 Where a student has failed to undertake or complete the deferred final examination, the student may apply to reschedule the deferred final examination on one further occasion due to one or more of the following: an ongoing disability where the student is registered with DSS; a serious medical condition requiring inpatient hospital treatment; severe mental health condition; death of a person with whom they had a significant relationship; obligations to emergency or military service or civic obligations; other extreme circumstances beyond their control such as a natural disaster or a serious accident; and/or; the circumstances for which the deferred final examination was granted are unresolved. The rescheduled final examination must occur within 90 calendar days after the results release date of the study period in which the original scheduled final examination was held. Note that assessments will only be scheduled beyond the maximum time limit in cases of unexpected delays caused by the College's administrative processes. 	Student
4.16.2	Submit the request in writing to Student Administration within two working days from the date of the deferred final examination, and provide supporting evidence relating to their circumstances.	Student
4.16.3	Assess the request and meet with the student to discuss their request. The student may take a	Education Director (or equivalent) or delegate



	·	
	support person to the meeting. Consider all the available evidence but is not limited to: consult staff members from the relevant student support service teams or staff members from the teaching teams. request further evidence. approve a Withdrawn Incomplete (WI) result. recommend that no further action be taken and that the Board of Examiners finalise the result(s). Case manage the student's enrolment.	
4.17	In the event where students have been given permission to defer their end of course tests or final examinations, students: • must make themselves available to sit a deferred test or final examination at the set scheduled time. • will sit their deferred test or final examination at the Monash College location they are enrolled in unless a request to sit the test or examination at another location or at the international partner's has been approved.	Program Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate

5. Marking, Grading and Feedback

- ·	5	
Ref	Process Steps	Responsibility
Assessm	ent marking	
5.1	 processes are in place for marking assessments fairly and reliably; and all markers, where academic judgement is required, have the appropriate level of qualifications, discipline knowledge and experience (see sections 4.1 - 4.3 of the Assessment guidelines). a marking guide and/or rubric for each assessment task are provided. The rubric must describe, for each marking criterion, the level of performance required for the different grades. For Diploma programs, the rubric must be consistent with the Monash University Grade Descriptors. 	Program Leader/Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate/Monash University Chief Examiner where applicable



	 clear instructions to all markers are provided regarding the allocation of student marks and grades. pre-marking calibration activities are conducted. where possible, the final result for each student is accurately calculated and the appropriate mechanisms are in place for verifying and recording of marks in Web Resulting System (WRS). marking for coursework assessment items must be completed within the required timeframe to ensure that students are informed of relevant feedback and meeting the resulting deadlines. 	
5.2	Disclose any potential, actual or perceived conflict of interest to the Program Leader or Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate.	Assessor
5.3	Disclose any conflict of interest to their supervisor and have it managed in line with the Conflict of Interest Procedure .	Program Leader or Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
5.4	Where suspecting there has been a breach of academic integrity in relation to an assessment task, stop marking the assessment and refer the matter immediately to the Program Leader/Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate for academic integrity.	Assessor
Marking	occurs during the teaching period.	
5.5	Mark assessments progressively, usually within 10 working days for a majority of the programs (see the specific marking timelines set out in section 4.4 of the Assessment Guidelines) during the study period to ensure marking and grading is consistent with agreed standards of performance/assessment criteria (see the Moderation and Validation Procedure).	Teacher
5.6	Conduct marking fairly and reliably and use the relevant grading schema for the program to record student performance judgments in the student management system. Refer to Appendix C for Grading schema.	Teacher
5.7	Provide marks or grades to the student via learning management system, and feedback at the same time, where possible, within two weeks from the date the marking is completed for the majority of the programs (see the specific feedback timelines in section 4.5 of the Assessment Guidelines). Note that feedback for coursework assessment items should be constructive in order to help the student improve their performance in subsequent assessment	Teacher



	tasks. Feedback should also inform them of how they	
	are performing against assessment criteria and learning	
	outcomes.	
5.8	Depending on the nature of each assessment task,	Teacher
	provide feedback in one or more of the following forms:	
	written, audio or video comments;	
	oral comments in group sessions or from	
	teachers to commend, guide and/or correct the	
	student's learning;	
	provision of sample answers (where student work is do identified) provided questions are not.	
	work is de-identified) provided questions are not	
	used in a major assessment task. This type of feedback can be provided in addition to	
	feedback can be provided in addition to	
	predictive feedback that outlines typical mistakes	
	or areas in which students perform well. This	
	type of feedback can be provided before the task	
	is due; and/or	
	rubrics or marking guides given to students	
	(written assessment above 10%, includes essay,	
	esports), with meaningful details of the student	
	performance against the criteria.	
Marking	occurs at the end of the study period.	
5.9	For applicable programs only, students can access	Teacher
	feedback for examinations after results for the units are	
	released (as set out in section 8 of the Examination and	
	Supervision Procedure)	
5.9.1	Where the assessment feedback is relevant to the	Teacher
	examination, provide feedback to students by the end of	
	the teaching period. Exceptions to this timeframe can be	
	approved by the relevant Education Director.	
5.10	Enter results into a results spreadsheet or a	Assessor
	resulting management system depending on	
	each specific program.	A
	Review and confirm the grading process; and	Assessment
	complete the Moderation reports.	Moderator/Moderator
	Provide the Moderation reports to the Pre-Board of Examiners or English Results Patification	
	of Examiners or English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel	
	where relevant for review (see sections 5.22 -	
	5.28).	
5.11	Where a discrepancy is identified between expected	Assessor
J. 11	performance based on the coursework assessment	7.0303301
	outcomes and actual performance in the exams, review	
	the exam submissions before the result is finalised	
	where appropriate.	
	L. L L	



5.12	The final results are calculated accurately and that the appropriate mechanisms are in place for verification (see section 5.16). The final unit result will be rounded to the nearest whole number (e.g., 79.01 to 79.49 rounds to 79 and 79.50 to 79.99 rounds to 80).	Assessor
Feedbac	k Quality	
5.13	For coursework assessments, where relevant, ensure that feedback must address the learning outcomes and assessment criteria of the task, and include grades/marks and/or comments about the student's performance against each criterion.	Teacher
	Feedback should be provided in clear language and be sufficiently detailed to support further learning.	Teacher
	The feedback quality should be consistent across all teachers.	Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate
Additiona	al feedback	To quintered, or development
5.14	A student can only request additional feedback after they have accessed all forms of feedback available for the assessment task, as indicated in the learning management system.	Student
	Speak to the teacher regarding additional feedback in the first instance within 10 working days after the feedback is available for the assessment.	
	Where the student is still dissatisfied, they can email the Unit Leader or Program Leader (or equivalent) or delegate to request further detailed feedback. The request must outline:	
	 the feedback received to date and why the student considers it inadequate to support their learning. the steps taken to obtain additional feedback; 	
	 and/or any exceptional circumstances that have prevented them from accessing all available feedback. 	
Use of fe	eedback to inform teaching practice	



5.15	Where possible, use student feedback provided through survey or by Moderators to reflect on and identify areas of unit assessment, teaching materials and practices that require improvement.	Teacher, Unit Leader
Verificati	on of fail grades in assessment tasks	
5.16	Refer to section 4.6 of the Assessment Guidelines to locate the fail grade verification process for each program area including English language, Foundation and Diploma.	Assessor (or equivalent) or delegate in consultation with Program Leader/Unit Leader (or equivalent) or delegate and/or Discipline Leader/Team Leader where applicable
Scaling of	of marks	
5.17	Make recommendations that scaling of marks is required to ensure quality of outcomes and consistency across different cohorts of students.	Assessment Moderator or Moderator
	Provide to the BoE or English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel where relevant, together with the recommended marks, a detailed justification for the scaling and the method used to adjust the marks.	
5.18	 Marks can be scaled when: a review of student performance on an assessment task, or part thereof, indicates that the task did not appropriately assess the unit learning outcomes or that the difficulty level of the assessment was not appropriate (either too low or too high). the marking scheme is not followed. an anomaly has been identified as part of grade distribution review. 	Assessment Moderator or Moderator
	Note that scaling of marks to achieve an ideal grade distribution is not permitted and is inconsistent with the criterion-referenced assessment approach of Monash College.	
	Scaling can also be applied to individual cases when the moderator's mark differs from the initial mark.	
5.19	Scaling practices may include one of the following: Adjusting by a fixed mark for each student. Proportionally varying the mark for each student. Other methods that change the distribution while preserving the original rank order within the relevant cohort.	BoE or English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel where relevant



5.20	Responsible for approving the scaling (and method) of any marks. Ensure a consistent approach taken across the whole cohort of students e.g., scaling by a common factor, and no student will be disadvantaged.	BoE or English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel where relevant	
5.21	Complete assessment grade moderation according to the Assessment Policy and Moderation and Validation Procedure. This includes completion of a Moderator's report to specify any adjustment or scaling.	Assessment Moderator or Moderator	
Board of	Examiners (BoE) - Applicable to Diploma programs		
5.22	 The BoE responsibilities include: determining the final results for each student enrolled in a unit; overseeing a fair, transparent and consistent system for marking and moderating assessments across study periods and across all providers; and advising the Board of Studies or Learning and Teaching Committee on any matter related to results ratification or moderation process. 	BoE	
5.23	Prior to the BoE meeting, an informal Pre-BoE session can be arranged to review the moderation reports. Items to be discussed in the Pre-BoE session may include one of the following but are not limited to: • Pass rates for the unit across all providers; • Grade distribution for the unit; • Grade distribution for the exam; • Grade distribution for coursework assessments; • Variation noted between coursework assessment results and examination performance, such as high coursework assessment and low exam results; • Average exam pass rate; and • Any issues raised by the moderator.	Monash College staff members on the BoE	
English Results Ratification Panel and Foundation Results Ratification Panel			
5.24	The responsibilities of the relevant results ratification panels relating to Assessment include: considering the recommendations of moderators to ensure the marking standards are consistently applied to assessment items; reviewing mark or grade modifications that have occurred in preparing results to ensure any changes are evidence-based and appropriate; 	English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel where relevant	



	 reviewing the grades of all students, including grade distribution and approve borderline adjustments; and determining the final results for each student enrolled in a unit/program. 	
5.25	Where a result variation occurs before or after the BoE or English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel where relevant and/or result release, obtain approval from the relevant staff members in each program area (see sections 4.7 - 4.11.3 of the Assessment Guidelines).	Teacher/Unit Leader/Academic Administration
5.26	At the BoE or English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel session, review grade distributions and ratify grades as required prior to approving final grades for publication to students. The moderation reports will be referred to as part of unit/program quality assurance and continuous improvement of assessments.	BoE or English Results Ratification Panel or Foundation Results Ratification Panel where relevant
5.27	Inform students that their final results are released in Web Enrolment System (WES) and results cannot be provided over phone.	Student Administration
5.28	Withhold student's results who have encumbrance on the file pending outstanding payment.	Student Administration

6. Assessment remarking

Ref	Process Steps	Responsibility
6.1	Except for the "verification of fail grades" process (see section 5.16), students do not have an automatic right to have an assessment re-marked. Where a student believes that an error has been made in the marking of their assessment, within two weeks of the release of the assessment mark, they can apply for a review of their assessment mark by following the below process. An error may include one of the following but is not limited to: • an incorrect late penalty has been applied; or	Student
	 due to a miscalculation; or the original assessment failed to follow the published assessment criteria or marking guide for the assessment task; or 	



	 a procedural irregularity has occurred. A student cannot apply for a review of their assessment mark on the basis that: they do not agree with the mark; or they think the provided feedback is insufficient. English language and Foundation (Coursework Assessments only) and Diploma programs: Make an appointment to speak with their teacher to review their assessment mark in the first instance. If the student is not satisfied after speaking with their teacher, they can request a further review from the Program Leader or Discipline Leader or Team Leader or delegate (or equivalent) or Partner Head to discuss areas of concern and obtain advice on the next course of action. The request must state why the student believes the assessment should be re-marked. Review the request for a correction and notify the student of the outcome within five working days of receiving the request. Where the original mark is found to be incorrect due to a marking error, the error will be corrected and the revised mark will stand. 	Program Leader or Discipline Leader or Team Leader or delegate (or equivalent) or Partner Head
6.2	Re-mark of Foundation examinations is not offered to students enrolled in the Foundation programs; however, checking mechanisms are put in place to ensure that the marking process and the marks awarded have been determined accurately, consistently, and fairly in accordance with the assessment criteria.	Student

7. Security and record keeping

Ref	Process Steps	Responsibility
7.1	Keep questions, assessment submissions and	All relevant staff involved in
	examination responses and marking guides,	assessment including
	including drafts, secure from unauthorised access.	Teacher/Unit Leader/Program
		Leader/Discipline Leader/Team



		Leader (or equivalent) or delegate, and Academic Administration, and Monash University Chief Examiner or delegate where applicable.
7.2	Report suspected breaches of assessment security to the Unit Leader/Program Leader/Discipline Leader/Team Leader (or equivalent) or delegate, and Academic Administration and Monash University Chief Examiner where applicable.	All relevant staff involved in assessment
7.3	The College will ensure that students' responses and student attendance records are retained for at least 12 months (or for a specified timeframe where required by the government authority) after the release of the final results or for as long as required if disciplinary or complaint proceedings are underway. This includes examination responses, uncollected assessment submissions, and records of all individual assessment marks for each unit or English language program.	All relevant staff involved with Assessments including Unit Leader/Program Leader (or equivalent), Student Administration and Academic Administration where applicable.



Appendices

Appendix A

Methods and timeframes of communicating assessment requirements

Assessment requirement details Items in this column must be stated for each assessment task (where applicable) or may be stated for the unit as a whole.	Unit guide/outline	Learning management system (or equivalent platform)
Assessment task type	Before enrolment period	
Assessment task title		Before start of teaching period
Percentage contribution of each assessment task to the final unit result	Before enrolment period	Before start of teaching period
Where applicable, provide information regarding hurdle requirements including the number of attempts allowed, the threshold mark required in assessment tasks and the consequence of not meeting a hurdle.	Before enrolment period	Before start of teaching period
Word limits, where applicable		Before start of teaching period
Duration of tests and examinations, where applicable		Before start of teaching period
Topic release dates, where relevant		Before start of teaching period
Topics		When assessment task opens
Due date and penalty applied for late submission		Before starting of teaching period
Submission and presentation requirements including attendance requirements		Before starting of teaching period
Authorised material or devices allowed or required in examinations		Before start of teaching period

Statement that the task is submitted through a similarity-detection system		Before start of teaching period
Instances of assessment tasks where some aspects can be negotiated and the process for negotiation, for example, allowing students to nominate topics)		Before start of teaching period
For group assessment tasks: - how the contributions of individual students will be assessed, who will determine the criteria and who will assess the individual's contribution (peers, teaching staff or both) - the proportions of the mark that will be allocated to the group's processes, the outcome of the group's task and the individual's contribution - how the group will be formed and managed - processes for timely communication and dispute resolution among group members - process for gaining group agreement to submit and responsibilities for correct and timely submission		When assessment task opens
Criteria by which performance will be	pe assessed:	
- Broad criteria		Before start of teaching period
- Details, such as marking criteria, rubrics		When assessment task opens
Late penalty variations from Assessment Procedure (section 2)		Before start of teaching period
Estimated timeframe for assessment feedback and any variations from the Assessment Procedure		Before start of teaching period



(section 5. Marking, Grading and Feedback)	
The types and methods of feedback students can expect in relation to their performance in the unit	Before start of teaching period

Appendix B Special consideration criteria and supporting evidence

Types of assessment tasks that a student can apply for special consideration

English language program	Foundation and Diploma program
 End of Course Examination Continuous Listening Quiz Study Skills Hurdle Key Task(s) Special assessment administrative arrangement due to disabilities or health condition 	Any assessment tasks including formally assessed summative tasks, including coursework assessments and examinations. Note that this does not include participation.

Criteria, including but not limited to:	Description	Supporting evidence required
Acute illness or injury	Includes hospital admission, serious injury, serious illness (e.g., influenza asthma), severe anxiety or depression.	The evidence must be dated and state that the student was unfit to study or undertake the assessment on or before the relevant date. The evidence can include but is not limited to: Medical certificate: following consultations between the student and health professional. This includes video consultations with telehealth services; or Medical letter of support: only when the student was unable to obtain a medical certificate on the date they were affected by the exceptional circumstances. Where this type of evidence is provided, the health professional must have thorough knowledge of the student's medical history and/or medical condition, and demonstrate



		that it was reasonable to assume the illness was present at the time of the student's scheduled assessment. Professional practitioner certificate: provided by other persons registered with a professional body, such as social workers and lawyers; or Registration with disability support services (DSS): information about students registered with DSS and the recommended adjustments or alternative assessment requirements can be accessed from reports in the learning management system and/or student management system. On a case-by-case basis, staff can obtain further advice from DSS on the student's condition and recommendation for assessment adjustments in the reports available.
Loss or bereavement	Includes death of a close family member or person with whom the student had a significant relationship.	The evidence provided must demonstrate the significance of the relationship, which can include but is not limited to: Death notice or certificate: accompanied by a student impact statement demonstrating the significant relationship; Professional practitioner certificate: provided by practitioners registered with a relevant professional body, such as social workers and lawyers; or Police report; or Statutory declaration (or equivalent) from the student or other relevant persons and/or official supporting evidence. The timeframe in which the death occurred should be no more than three to seven days prior to the date of the final assessment task.
Family relationship breakdown	Includes family violence or severe disruption to domestic arrangements.	The evidence can include but is not limited to: Police report; or Professional practitioner certificate: provided by practitioners registered with a relevant professional body, such as social workers and lawyers; or Letter or document: provided by a court, a district or maternal and child



		health care nurse, a family violence support service; or Statutory declaration (or equivalent) from the student or other relevant persons and/or official supporting documentation.
Hardship or trauma	Includes victims of crime; sudden loss of income or employment; serious illness in their family or person for whom they care.	The evidence can include but is not limited to: Police or court report; or Professional practitioner certificate: provided by practitioners registered with a relevant professional body, such as social workers and lawyers; or Letter or document: provided by the student's employer or former employer; or Statutory declaration from the student or other relevant persons and/or official supporting documentation.
Obligations to military, jury or emergency services	Including obligations to the Country Fire Authority or other civic obligations recognised in international locations.	The evidence must state the relevant dates of the student's obligation, which can include but is not limited to: • Statement from the appropriate authority, for example, the Defence Reservist's Military Unit, Juries Commissioner's Office; or Country Fire Authority.
Obligations as a student registered with the Monash Elite Student Performer / Athlete Programs	Including: • athletes or performers registered with the Elite Student Performer Scheme	The evidence must state the relevant dates of the student's obligation. Statement from the appropriate authority includes: • registration with the Elite Student Performer Scheme; or • faculty or other appropriate area of the University, for other engagement activities where the student represents or volunteers on behalf of the University.
Student carers	Primary carer responsibility for a family member with an unexpected illness	Student carers, including those registered with DSS, must provide evidence that the exceptional circumstances (as listed in this table) affect the person for whom they care, and the student's ability to undertake assessment was affected by those circumstances.

Religious or cultural commitments	Including: • students who hold strict religious observance (for invigilated assessments with a set date); • students with cultural duties and ceremonial obligations	The evidence can include but is not limited to: • A personal letter of support: from a recognised cultural or faith leader explaining how the obligatory cultural or religious commitment will prevent the student from attempting or completing the assessment task; or • Statutory declaration from the student or other relevant persons. Refer to the Accommodation of Students with Strict Religious Observance Obligations Guidelines
Technical difficulties	The circumstances must be beyond the student's control and include: • major or continuous disruption to power and/or internet service provision for more than 20 per cent of the scheduled assessment duration; and • major technical issues with computer hardware (e.g., screen malfunction, fan malfunction).	Students must make every effort to contact the eSolutions Service Desk, report the issue and submit an application for special consideration within two working days of their final assessment. In support of their application, students must provide either: • Screenshots or photos (including timestamps where appropriate) of the technical issue. • Statutory declaration (or equivalent) from the student or other relevant persons and/or official supporting documentation.
Other compassionate and compelling circumstances	Including but are not limited to: • major political upheaval or disaster in the student's home country.	Sufficient evidence appropriate to the exceptional circumstances the student has experienced or is experiencing. The evidence can include a letter from a relevant authority.

Appendix C Grading schema

Code	Grade	Grade descriptor/information	Mark (%)
Foundation prog	rams		
HD	High Distinction		80 - 100



D	Distinction		70 - 79
С	Credit		60 - 69
Р	Pass		50 - 59
N	Fail		0 - 49
WH	Withheld		-
WN	Withdrawn Fail		-
WDN	Withdrawn Early		-
WI	Withdrawn Incomplete		-
DISC	Discontinued		-
EXEMPTED	EXEMPTED	Exemption granted for completion of equivalent Monash University Foundation Year unit	-
INCOMPLETE	INCOMPLETE	Grade not yet awarded	-
PGO	Pass Grade Only	Pass Grade Only (no higher grade available)	-
NGO	Fail		-
Diploma progran	ns		
HD	High Distinction	 Exceptionally clear understanding of subject matter and appreciation of issues; clearly and logically organised with excellent presentation. Addresses all of the assessment criteria to a very high standard; Evidence of insight and originality where appropriate. 	80 - 100
D	Distinction	 Strong grasp of subject matter and appreciation of key issues; Addresses all the assessment criteria, with several to a high standard; Clearly and logically organised with good 	70 - 79



		presentation; • Evidence of solid work.	
С	Credit	 Competent understanding of subject matter and appreciation of most of the main issues; Addresses most of the assessment criteria reasonably well; Competent organisation and presentation. 	60 - 69
Р	Pass	 Satisfactory; Demonstrates appreciation of subject matter and issues; Addresses most of the assessment criteria adequately but may lack in depth and breadth; Often work of this grade demonstrates only basic comprehension or competency; Work of this grade may be poorly structured and presented. 	50 - 59
N	Fail	 Unsatisfactory; Evidence of lack of understanding of subject; Minimal or inadequate comprehension and does not address the assessment criteria; Work is often inadequate in depth and breadth and sometimes incomplete or irrelevant; Lack of care and thought in organising, presenting and structuring work. 	0 - 49
NE	Not Examinable		-
WH	Withheld		-
WN	Withdrawn Fail	The student has withdrawn from a unit of study after the academic census date in the study period. The student cannot withdraw from a unit after the last day of the teaching period.	-
WDN	Withdrawn Early	The student has discontinued from a unit of study before the academic census date in the trimester. There is no academic penalty for a WDN grade.	-
WI	Withdrawn Incomplete		-

DISC	Discontinued		-
EXEMPTED	EXEMPTED	Credit given in recognition of prior learning	-
INCOMPLETE	INCOMPLETE	Grade not yet awarded	-
PGO	Pass Grade Only		-
NGO	Fail Grade Only		-
WNGO	Withdrawn Fail		-
DEF	Deferred		-
Grading schema	ns ending prior to No for Monash English for University is set	(ME) Elementary to Advanced and Monash English Bri	dging (MEB)
for Diplomas and	for University is set	out below.	
ER	ER	Exceeds requirements	80 - 100
MR	MR	Meets requirements	60 - 79
DR	DR	Does not meet requirements	0 -59
English programs ending after November 2017			
1. Grading	schema for Monash	English (ME) Elementary to Advanced is set out below.	
ER	ER	Exceeds requirements	80 - 100
MR	MR	Meets requirements	60 - 79
DR	DR	Does not meet requirements	0 - 59
WI	Withdrawn Incomplete		
2. Grading below.	schema for Monash	English Bridging (MEB) for Diplomas Part 1 and Part 2	are set out



Code	Grade	Requirements for successful completion - M Diplomas		letion - MEB	Mark (%)	
		Part 1		Part 2		
Α	А					80 - 100
В	В					75 - 79
С	С	Study Skills*			language skills	70 - 74
D	D					65 - 69
E	Е	All four language	skills			60 - 64
F	F					50 - 59
G	G					≤49
WI	Withdrawn Incomplete					
*Study Skills was	previously referred	to as 'Folio'				
3. Grading s Advance	schema for Monash d Plus streams* is se	English Bridging (I et out below.	MEB) for (Universit	y Standard, Advan	ced and
Code	Grade	Requirements for successful completion - MEB University		Mark (%)		
		Standard	Advance	ed	Advanced Plus	
A	А				Listening Speaking	80 - 100
В	В					75 - 79
С	С	Study Skills^	All four skills Study S		Reading Writing Study Skills^	70 - 74

D	D	Writing		65 - 69
		Reading		
E	Е	Speaking		60 - 64
		Listening		
F	F			50 - 59
G	G			≤49
WI	Withdrawn Incomplete			

^{*}Note: Different faculties and courses at Monash University have different entry requirements. Please contact Student Recruitment and Admissions directly for information about course-specific entry

Definitions

Academic Progress Panel	An advisory panel to the Foundation Results Ratification Panel (or equivalent), assess each application on its merit and make a recommendation for panel approval.
Activity	An assessed or a non-assessed task or activity, such as, an in-class engagement activity or a group activity.
Alternative assessment arrangements	Students with an ongoing medical or mental health condition, disability or who have responsibilities as student carers may apply to Disability Support Services for alternative arrangements.
Assessment	A process to determine a student's achievement of identified learning outcomes and may include a range of written and oral methods and practice or demonstration.
Assessment Moderator	Appointed by Monash College for each <i>subject area</i> in the Diploma of Business. The Assessment Moderator reviews the assessments and ensures consistent marking standards and/or alignment with the intended learning outcomes for <i>coursework assessments</i> and <i>final examinations</i> across providers.
Assessment task	For the purpose of this procedure, a task that contributes to a student's final unit result or English language program result. It does not include formative assessment activities that do not contribute to the final unit result or English language program result.
Authorised translation	Translated by an accredited translator (e.g., NATTI in Australia) or an approved staff member from Monash College or Partner Institution(s).
Board of Examiners	Oversee the moderation process and the ratification of student results in the Diploma programs.

[^]Study Skills introduced from May 2019.



Object Face 1	Monash University appointed a staff member who has overriding
Chief Examiner	responsibility for assessment in a particular Monash University unit, including oversight of the equivalent unit delivered at Monash College.
Clerical check	Includes checking to ensure that all questions have been marked and/or that all the marks have been calculated and summed up correctly.
Coursework assessments	Includes classroom tests, presentations, research projects, assessed practical work and other assignments, but does not include examinations.
Double marking	An independent process completed by a second marker who does not have access to the grades or comments of the original marker. Double markers must have the appropriate level of qualification, discipline knowledge and experience, as well as access to the marking guide and/or rubric for the assessment task.
English Results Ratification Panel	Oversee the moderation process and the ratification of student results in English language programs.
Final examination or end of course test	An invigilated examination held after the end of the teaching period. The results of the examination are partly used to determine the final result of the unit. A final examination may consist of one or more major assessment tasks.
Formally assessed summative assessment tasks	Includes (but not limited to) classroom tests, presentations, research projects, assessed practical work and other assignments and examinations.
Foundation Results Ratification Panel	Oversee the moderation process and the ratification of student results in Foundation programs.
Group assessment	Assessment tasks in which students work cooperatively and some element of the marks/ feedback is awarded collectively
High-stakes assessments	Assessments with important consequences for students, on the basis of their academic performance. For example, a high-stakes assessment can be the hurdle requirement task or the task with the greatest total assessment weighting of the unit.
Second marking	An independent marking completed by a second marker who has access to the grades and comments of the first marker. Second markers must have the appropriate level of qualification, discipline knowledge and experience.
Scaling of marks	A process approved by the board of examiners to adjust individual assessment marks, or group/cohort assessment marks when a review of student performance on an assessment task or part thereof indicates that the task did not appropriately assess the unit learning outcomes, the marking scheme was not followed or an anomaly was identified as part of a grade distribution review.
Student carer (see section 3.1)	A student registered with Disability Support Services as a student carer (as defined by the Carer Recognition Act 2010) who is an individual that provides personal care, support and assistance to another individual who needs it because the other individual has a disability, a medical condition (including a terminal or chronic illness), has a mental illness or is aged and frail.
	A person is not a carer merely because they are the spouse, de facto partner, parent, child, other relative or the guardian of an individual or because they live with an individual who requires care.



Study period	A discrete period of study within a program such as a term, semester or trimester.
Teaching period	In relation to a unit of study or English language programs, the period occupied by the teaching of the unit or English language programs
Withdrawn Incomplete grade	A grade awarded in instances when a student is unable to undertake or complete their assessment task or academic period due to significant compelling or extreme circumstances beyond their control.

Related Documents

Parent Policy	Assessment Policy
Legislation and Standards	Education Services for Overseas Students Act 2000 (Cth) ELICOS Standards2018 Foundation Program Standards 2021 Higher Education Standards Framework (Threshold Standards) 2021 National Code of Practice for Providers of Education and Training to Overseas Students 2018 Privacy Act 1988
Reference Policies, Procedures and Supporting Documentation	Moderation and Validation Procedure Assessment Guidelines

Version control and accountability table

Accounta	able Area	Education						
Respons	ible Officer	Executive Director, Education						
Review Date March 2026								
Approved by								
Academic Board DATE 29 MARCH 2023 MEETING NUMBER 1/2023 / AGENDA ITEM 2.3								
Endorsed by								
Learning and Teaching Committee DATE 1 MARCH 2023 MEETING NUMBER 1/2023 / AGENDA ITEM 2.1.1								
Version	Authored by		Brief Description of the changes		Date Approved	Effective Date		



2.1	Senior Consultant Education - Policy and Procedures	Changes made to definitions section, removal of detail on WI grades. WI grade added to all programs. Clause 4.7 amended.	21/11/2023	21/11/2023
2.0	Senior Coordinator Education - Policy and Procedures	Revised procedure after organisational restructure to include three program areas, English language, Foundation and Diploma programs.	29/03/2023	12/06/2023
1.0	Director, Diplomas Director, Governance	Development and Implementation of an Assessment Regime Diplomas Procedure	31/10/2019	31/10/2019
1.0	Director, Diplomas Director, Governance	Adjustments to Assessments Diplomas Procedure	04/06/2019	04/06/2019
1.0	Director, Diplomas Director, Governance	Grading and Marking Diplomas Procedure	04/06/2019	04/06/2019
1.0	Director, Diplomas Director, Governance	Assessment Feedback Diplomas Procedure	04/06/2019	04/06/2019
1.0	Director, Diplomas Director, Governance	Assessment Security and Record Keeping Diplomas Procedure	04/06/2019	04/06/2019